

**Submission Form Request Submitted via Council website on 7 January 2009**

**Proposed Issue for Review :**

HBC collaborated with Accent Housing Association to provide affordable housing in the district. I propose that the following aspects of this provision be reviewed.

The councils method for ascertaining need for affordable housing.

The council recommendation for type of provision.

Planning policy and guidelines and their application

Objections to planning and whether or not these were taken account of.

Building Regulations/Control and their application by council officers.

in relation to the development at East Park Rd Spofforth and Little Ribston.

The question that the review should seek to answer is whether or not Judge Woods allegation that the council acted 'in collaboration' with Accent to develop housing has resulted in planning policies and guidelines , and building regulations been subverted to meet the councils objective of providing housing.

**Reasons for Review :**

It would seem that that statutory requirements, planning policies and guidelines have been applied to the development at East Park Rd in such a way as to facilitate the development. Has HBC complied with all relevant policies, guidelines and statutory instruments with regard to assessing and providing for housing need, planning policies and guidelines and building control?

**E-mail Received 23 February 2009**

It is difficult to see how you would ascertain the extent of any potential review without considering detailed submissions, documents and correspondence. I have requested formal details of the scrutiny commission, and how a member of the public can present a case to the commission. I would not consider it appropriate for you to do so. In short, can you provide details of the process and what submissions can be made to the scrutiny commission? The scrutiny commission can consider any aspect of the councils administration and I expect them to do so. I therefore expect you to make arrangements for the matter to be put to the scrutiny commission. I will be forwarding a document which details exactly what I would wish to be scrutinised. There is of course the option to put the matter to the courts should the Council fail to deliver a satisfactory response. I have filed a claim against Accent for damages relating to the appropriation of my land and the inadequacy of the foundations of the social housing that has been built at East Park Rd. I have also contacted NYCC about the failure to pipe surface water to the nearest water course as agreed by the planning application. The question relating to planning is not one of application but of enforcement, which is an entirely separate matter altogether. It would be altogether more sensible for HBC to address the numerous errors that have been made in relation to the development of social housing at East Park Rd and other sites throughout the district.